

OUT TODAY

ITEM NO.MM-1

COURT NO.10

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).8165/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 18/06/2009 in CRLA No. 337/2002
of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

N.RAGHAVENDER

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.TR.C.B.I.

Respondent(s)

Date: 06/01/2010 This Petition was mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv. (mentioned by)
Ms.T.Anamika,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON being mentioned the Court made the following
O R D E R

This Court on 4.1.2010 passed the following order:

"We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Leave granted.

During the pendency of this appeal, the appellant is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of trial court."

Today, Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner has mentioned this matter stating that the trial court has not granted the bail and directed the

2

appellant to seek clarification from this Court.

We are surprised by the order passed by the trial court. We would have ordinarily taken very strict action

against the concerned Judge. If we have passed the order

that the bail should be granted to the appellant to the

satisfaction of the trial court, the trial court ought to

have proceeded ahead to grant the bail to his satisfaction.

It is inappropriate for the trial court not to comply with the orders and ask the party to approach the Supreme Court for explanation.

Presuming that this is only a bona fide mistake, we do not want to take any harsh action but would ask the concerned officer to be careful in future. Let the copy of this order be sent to the concerned High Court for information.

(Pardeep Kumar)
Court Master

(Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master