

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20851/2009

MANDAKINI D BHALERAO Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAM LABBHAYA SURI(D) TR.LRS.& ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 26/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.
Ms Sunaina Dutta, Adv.
Mrs.Suchitra Atul Chitale ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice.

Dasti service is allowed on unserved respondents.

Petitioner is permitted to serve dasti notice through
the nearest Civil Court/Trial Court.

Respondent No.1(b) is present before the Court and he
being brother of unserved respondent No.1(c) confirms that the
address of respondent No.1(c) is proper, therefore, petitioner
and Trial Court are permitted to serve notice even by affixing
the same at the given address if it could not be served in due
course.

Item No.10

It seems that there is no proper disclosure of the
address of the respondents, may be even in the record before
the Trial Court, in as much as; the correct house No. is 15-A
and not only 15, as confirmed by respondent No.1(b) before the

Court .

This fact is to be recorded properly for proper service in future.

Petitioner may also take appropriate steps since counter affidavit by respondent No.1(b) is on record since the October, 2009.

Petitioner is directed to confirm service, as last chance, without fail before 13.1.2011.

If there is no proof of service on record before 13.1.2011, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution so far as unserved respondent is concerned.

(S.G.SHAH)
Registrar

hj