

perused the record including IA No. 4 of 2007 filed by Smt. Anjali Gupta and others and I.A. No. 8 of 2009 filed by M/s. Tam Glass Tech & Glaziers Ltd. and others for implementation.

In our view, the impugned order does not call for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution because substantial justice has been done to the parties.

That apart, we are satisfied that the grievance made in the special leave petition does not survive because the legal representatives of Mrs. Champa Devi have already disposed of portion of the disputed land by executing sale deed in favour of the intervenor. Even respondent no. 4 is said to have disposed of a portion of the land in favour of Smt. Anjali Gupta and others.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

-3-

In view of dismissal of the special leave petition, I.A. No. 4 of 2007 and I.A. no. 8 of 2009 are disposed of as infructuous.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
Court Master

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA)
Court Master