

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1101 OF 2002

KRISHAN LAL & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE (U.T. CHANDIGARH)

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

With Criminal Appeal No.1102 of 2002
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 27/04/2010 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Appellant(s) Ms. Jaspreet Gogia,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Kamini Jaiswal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeals are disposed of.

The bail bonds of the appellants, who are on bail, are discharged.

[Alka Dudeja] [Neeru Bala Vij]
A.R.-cum-P.S. Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1101 OF 2002

Krishan Lal and Anr.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State (U.T. Chandigarh)

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appellants, along with other accused, were convicted for the offence under Sections 307/148/149/332/333/427/452/437 of the Indian Penal Code [for short, 'I.P.C'] by the Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh. The High Court, in Criminal Appeal No.211 of 1988, convicted appellants Krishan Lal and Piara Lal under Section 332 I.P.C. and sentenced them to undergo rigorous imprisonment for six months and appellant Surjan Singh was convicted under Section 333 I.P.C. and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years and to pay fine of Rupees five hundred; in default, to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of six months. Aggrieved by the order of conviction and sentence, the appellants are before us in afore-mentioned criminal appeals.

....2/-

- 2 -

The incident occurred in the year 1981 and nearly, three decades have gone by. At this stage, in our opinion, it is not necessary to go into the details of the merits of the case pleaded by the learned counsel for the parties to the lis. In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the case, we feel that ends of justice would be met if the sentence is reduced to the period of sentence already undergone by the accused.

In this view of the matter, keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, while disposing of these appeals, we reduce the sentence to the period already undergone.

The bail bonds of the appellants, who are on bail, are discharged.

.....J.
[H.L. DATTU]

.....J.
[K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN]

New Delhi,
April 27, 2010.