

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 2/2014, I.A. 3/2014 in Civil Appeal No(s). 5278/2010

A.MEERAN SAHIB Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(for restoration and c/delay in filing application for restoration)

Date : 09/09/2014 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Mr. M. K. Michael, Adv.

Mr. Sriram P., Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Jain, Adv.
Mrs. Sudha Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for restoration is condoned.

It has been submitted at the Bar that the erstwhile Advocate on Record appearing for the appellant had expired and no alternate arrangements were made and as such the appeal itself was dismissed for non prosecution.

The application for restoration has been filed. I deem it appropriate to restore the appeal to its original number. Application for restoration is allowed. Registry is

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Rajni Mukhi

directed to act accordingly.

Date: 2014.09.11
11:02:05 IST
Reason:

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(SUMAN JAIN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. 2/2014
and
I.A. 3/2014
in
Civil Appeal No(s). 5278/2010

A.MEERAN SAHIB

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for restoration is condoned.

It has been submitted at the Bar that the erstwhile Advocate on Record appearing for the appellant had expired and no alternate arrangements were made and as such the appeal itself was dismissed for non prosecution.

The application for restoration has been filed. I deem it appropriate to restore the appeal to its original number. Application for restoration is allowed. Registry is directed to act accordingly.

.....J
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI
September 09,2014