

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012
CC 15587/2012

(From the judgment and order dated 20/10/2011 in WC No.35179/2011 of The
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

TULSI RAM Respondent(s)
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP)
WITH
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 15764 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office
report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 15787 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office
report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 15866 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office
report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 15969 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and
office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16088 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and
office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16142 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and
office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16144 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and
office report)

...2/-

:2:

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16145 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16397 of 2012
WITH I.A. 1 (C/Delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16540 of 2012
(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

Date: 17/09/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, AAG
Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.-on-record
Mr. Gautam Talukdar, Adv.
Mr. Avnish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue notice on applications seeking condonation of delay as well as in the Special Leave Petitions.

In the meantime, direction by the High Court with regard to reinstatement of the respondents shall remain stayed.

Before the next date, the petitioner - State shall also file an additional affidavit indicating the amount of back wages payable to each of the respondents.

| (VINOD LAKHINA)
| Court Master

| | (KUSUM GULATI)
| | Court Master

|
|

