

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4049 OF 2003

M. THANGARAJ

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMILNADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 07/04/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B. Raghunath, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. K.R. Nambiar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as not pressed with liberty to the appellant to pursue the representations. If a final decision on these representations has not been taken so far, the same shall be considered and a final decision thereon is taken within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording adequate opportunity of hearing to the appellant, in accordance with law, without being influenced by the withdrawal of the present appeal.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Kusum Gulati]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4049 OF 2003

M. Thangaraj

.. Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Tamil Nadu & Ors.

.. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

During the course of hearing, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted that in furtherance of order dated 2nd April, 1985, passed by a

Division Bench of the High Court of Judicature at Madras in Writ Appeal No. 268 of 1985, the appellant had made a representation to the authorities concerned on 12th October, 1985. However, decision on the said representation was deferred because of the pendency of Writ Petition No. 7648 of 1984. According to the learned counsel, since then no final decision on the said representation has been communicated to the appellant. Learned counsel thus prays that the appellant may be permitted to withdraw this appeal with liberty to pursue the said representation as also another representation dated 7th August, 1996, on which admittedly no decision was taken because of the pendency of the Writ Appeal No. 15 of 1996.

..2/-

: 2 :

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as not pressed with liberty to the appellant to pursue the said representations. We direct that if a final decision on these representations has not been taken so far, the same shall be considered and a final decision thereon is taken within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording adequate opportunity of hearing to the appellant, in accordance with law, without being influenced by the withdrawal of the present appeal.

.....J.
[D.K. JAIN]

.....J.
[H.L. DATTU]

NEW DELHI,
APRIL 07, 2011.