

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16686/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 10/02/2010 in AC No.9/2009 of the HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

AJAY KUMAR OJHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.& ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 29622 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 29624 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26350 of 2011

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 31682 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 31684 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26605 of 2011

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 16/07/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Mishr Saurabh, Adv.

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.

Ms. Pooja Dhar, Adv.

Mr. Ankur Talwar, Adv.

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. Ashutosh Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Pathak, Adv.

For State of M.P. Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anand Dixit, Adv.

Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.

Ms. Charu Ambawani, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mayank K., Adv.

For M/S. Ap & J Chambers, A.O.R.

Mr. Sumit Attri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners contended that in the light of order passed by Division Bench of this Court in C.A. No.974 of 2012 titled "M.P. Rural Road Development Authority and another versus M/s. L.G. Chaudhary Engineers", reported in 2012 (1) SCALE 688, matter has to be referred to a larger Bench.

However, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that this is not required to be tagged and it is to be heard.

If that be so, post for hearing after eight weeks.

|(A.D. Sharma)
|Court Master

| |(S.S.R. Krishna)
| |Court Master

|