

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos. 10-13/2008 in C.A.Nos. 5632-5635/2007

Sheikh Abdul Rashi & Ors. Petitioners

VERSUS

State of J. & K & Ors. Respondent (s)
In the matter of:

Mohammed Yousuf Shah & Ors.

Date: 23.10.2008 :This matter was mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPHFor Petitioner(s)/ Mr. B.A. Khan, Sr.Adv.
Respondent (s) Dr.Saif Mahmood, Adv.
(impleading party)Mr. Sumante De, Adv.
Mr.Sudarshan Rajan, Adv.

Mr. B.R.Jad, Adv.

Mr. N.Ganapathy, Adv

UPON being mentioned the Court made the following
ORDER

I.As. are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[Meenu Sethi] [Pushap Lata Bhardwaj]
A.R.-cum -P.S. Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

Mentioning slip is attached.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTIONI.Nos.10-13 of 2003
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5632-5635 OF 2007

Sheikh Abdul Rashid & Ors. ...Appellants

Versus

State of Jammu & Kashmir & Ors. ..Respondents

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mohammad Yousuf Shah & Ors. ..Applicants

O R D E R

Applicants before us were not parties to
C.A.Nos.5632-5635/2007 wherein this Court has rendered its judgment
on 5.7.2007.

Applicants state before us that in fact they were not concerned with the dispute between the parties, inter-se, therein.

The grievance raised by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants is that owing to improper implementation of the said judgment, their seniority is being affected. That by itself, in our opinion, cannot be a ground to implead the applicants in a disposed of appeal for the purpose of examining the issue afresh on the premise that a subsequent cause of action has arisen. If the applicants have any remedy available to them, if any subsequent cause of action has arisen, indisputably they may avail the same.

With the aforementioned observations, the I.As. are dismissed.

[S.B. SINHA]J.

[CYRIAC JOSEPH]J

New Delhi,
October 23, 2008.