

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.2

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 1353/2008

KETAN V. PAREKH

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CRL.A. NO. 1349/2008 (II-A) [ITEM NO.102.1]

CRL.A. NO. 1200/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.2]

CRL.A. NO. 1352/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.3]

CRL.A. NO. 1201/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.4]

CRL.A. NO. 1131/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.5]

CRL.A. NO. 1250/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.6]

CRL.A. NO. 1585/2008 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.7]

CRL.A. NO. 120/2011 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.8]

CRL.A. NO. 229/2011 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.9]

CRL.A. NO. 248/2014 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.10]

CRL.A. NO. 1237/2014 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.11]

CRL.A. NO. 1236/2014 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.12]

CRL.A. NO. 806/2015 (II-A)[ITEM NO.102.13]

Date : 17-07-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

**For parties :**

- CRL.A. 1353/08** Mr. Kamini Jaiswal, AOR  
Ms. Shruti Dutt, Adv.
- CRL.A. 229/11** Mr. S.M. Vivek Anandh, Adv.  
Ms. Geetika, Adv.  
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, AOR
- CRL.A. 248/14** Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR  
Mr. Dhiraj Mirajkar, Adv.  
Ms. Nupur Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Anju Thomas, Adv.  
Ms. Priyansha Sharma, Adv.
- CRL.A. 806/15** Mr. Pranay Ranjan, Adv.  
  
Mr. Praneet Ranjan, AOR
- CRL.A.1237/14** Mr. Atul Chitale, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Naveen Chawla, Adv.  
Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR
- CRL.A.1236/14** Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nikilesh Ramachandran, AOR  
Mr. Navdeep Jain, Adv.
- CRL.A.1200/08,  
120/11** Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.  
Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.  
Mr. Rangunatha Sethupathy, Adv.  
Mr. Gagan Narang, Adv.  
Mrs. V. D. Khanna, AOR
- CRL.A.1352/08** Mr. Sidharth Dave, Adv.  
Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.  
Ms. Niharika, Adv.  
Ms. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, Adv.  
Ms. Jemtiben AO, Adv.  
for M/S. K J John And Co, AOR  
  
Mr. Jatin Zaveri, AOR

CRL.A. 1131/08

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.  
Mr. Vikrant Pachnanda, Adv.  
Ms. Aastha Mehta, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Kusum Chaudhary, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tewari, AOR  
Mr. Abhishek Tewari, Adv.  
Mr. Vikramaditya Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG  
Mr. A.K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. K.L. Janjani, Adv.  
Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Sheoran, Adv.  
Ms. Snidha Mehra, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Tanisha Samanta, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Relying on certain earlier orders of this Court in like matters a preliminary argument was made on behalf of the appellants that even if the conviction of the appellants is to be maintained, the Court could consider whether the period of custody undergone is adequate.

It is from the aforesaid limited perspective that we had examined the matter and we find that in most of the

cases the period of custody suffered would be inadequate for a direction for release of the accused on the basis of the submissions made, as recorded above. Rather, we are of the view that it would be more appropriate if the present appeals are heard on merits.

Learned counsels for the parties submit that they may be given two weeks' time to file their written arguments correlating the case(s) of each of the accused to the evidence (oral and documentary) on record. This, learned counsels for the parties submit, would facilitate early disposal of the appeals.

Having regard to the statements made on behalf of the learned counsels for the accused appellants we adjourn the cases to 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

[VINOD LAKHINA]  
AR-cum-PS

[ASHA SONI]  
BRANCH OFFICER