

ITEM NO.1 Court 4 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 122231/2021 in Criminal Appeal No(s). 1353/2008

KETAN V. PAREKH Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Respondent(s)

(IA No. 122231/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO TRAVEL ABROAD)

Date : 08-11-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

For Appellant(s) Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, AOR
Ms. Rani Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG
Ms. Sonia Mathur, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sharath Nambiar, Adv.
Ms. Saudamini Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Kr. Chourasia, Adv.
Mr. K.L. Janjani, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv
Mr. Rohan Talwar, Adv
Mr. S. Sahil Reddy, Adv
Mr. E C Agrawala, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA 122231/2021 in Criminal Appeal No. 1353/2008

- 1 The appellant has sought permission to travel to the United Kingdom for a period of three months to attend to his daughter's medical requirements. The appellant's daughter has been rendered a quadriplegic, as a result of post-viral encephalitis, for several years. Her appointment with a doctor in the UK was

initially scheduled in October 2021. However, it has been stated that since the IA could not be listed, the date for the appointment passed by, resulting in a rescheduling of the appointment to 3 February 2022. Permission has now been sought well in advance to obviate a similar situation.

2 In pursuance of the previous order of this Court dated 25 October 2021, the appellant has filed a compilation of documents containing relevant medical records.

3 The appellant has been permitted by this Court to travel abroad on several previous occasions, commencing from 17 April 2015.

4 Ms Kamini Jaiswal, counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant, submits that since the appellant has been permitted to travel abroad on six previous occasions by this Court and he has duly complied with the conditions imposed by returning back to India, he does not pose a flight risk.

5 Mr K M Nataraj, Additional Solicitor General, appears on behalf of the respondent with Ms Sonia Mathur, Senior Counsel.

6 Having perused the relevant documents which have been placed on the record and having regard to the previous permissions which have been granted by this Court, we are of the view that the ends of justice would be met by permitting the appellant to travel to the UK from 27 January 2022 until the end of February 2022. This permission shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as were imposed in the order of this Court dated 17 April 2015. The appellant shall return back to India immediately after the period stipulated above.

7 In the event that the appointment which is fixed for 3 February 2022 is rescheduled or cancelled, the appellant shall not travel to the UK for any other

reason and shall apply for fresh permission of this Court, should it become necessary.

8 The IA is accordingly disposed of.

9 The application for intervention is also disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER