

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.) NO.10036 OF 2009

P.C.GUGAMANI & ANR. . . . .PETITIONERS  
VERSUS  
N.K.K.P RAJA & ANR. . . . .RESPONDENTS

With T.P. (Crl.) Nos.392 and 393 of 2010

O R D E R

SLP(Crl.) No.10036 of 2009

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that this special leave petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above statement, this special leave petition is dismissed as infructuous accordingly.

T.P. (Crl.) Nos.392 and 393 of 2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that in view of the subsequent development, he does not intend to press these petitions.

The transfer petitions are dismissed as not pressed.

.....J  
[CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]

.....J  
[KURIAN JOSEPH]

NEW DELHI;  
AUGUST 02, 2013.

ITEM NO.36 COURT NO.10 SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).10036/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 02/09/2009 in CRLOP No.18231/2009, of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

P.C.GUGAMANI & ANR. Petitioner(s)  
VERSUS  
N.K.K.P RAJA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

WITH T.P.(CRL) NO. 392 of 2010  
(With office report)

T.P.(CRL) NO. 393 of 2010  
(With office report)

Date: 02/08/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. G. Sivabalamurugan, Adv.  
Mr. Anis Mohammad, Adv.  
Mr. Stalin Selvamani, Adv.  
Mr. L.K. Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.  
Mr. A. Santha Kumaran, Adv.  
Ms. K. Sasikala, Adv.  
  
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, Adv.  
Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.  
  
Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.  
  
Mr. Satya Mitra Garg, Adv.

....2/-

: 2 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(Crl.) No.10036 of 2009

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that this special leave petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above statement, this special leave petition is dismissed as infructuous accordingly.

T.P. (Crl.) Nos.392 and 393 of 2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that in view of the subsequent development, he does not intend to press these petitions.

The transfer petitions are dismissed as not pressed.

(SANJAY KUMAR)

COURT MASTER

(INDU SATIJA)

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)