

X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M K H A N J U R A

Civil Appeal No(s). 8607/2010

NOY VALLESINA ENGNR.SPA Appellant(s)

V E R S U S

JINDAL DRUGS LTD. & ORS. Respondent(s)

)

(with office report)

Date : 12/05/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Vaibhav Joshi,adv.
M/s. Dua Associates,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Abhinav Sharma,adv.
Mr. Jay Savla,Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondent No.1 have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 06.09.2012 of this Registry. Service of notice i

s complete on the respondent Nos.2-5, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time

Signature Not Verified

of presentation of petition
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2015.05.14

leave to for seeking special
16:09:02 IST
Reason:
appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not de
sire to

file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB