

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23269/2008

NOY VALLESINA ENGNR.SPA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JINDAL DRUGS LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 17/02/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. L.K. Bhushan,Adv.
M/S. Dua Associates,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Arundhati Das,Adv.
Mr. Jay Savla,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Petitioner has to take appropriate steps to confirm service upon unserved respondents.

Registry has to be careful while drafting the office report. There is wrong statement regarding status of notice. Respondent Nos 4 and 5 are not served as petitioner has specifically disclosed in the affidavit filed by them, Registry has to explain in writing to the undersigned within a week that why it is stated in the office report that service is complete.

There is no request for filing counter affidavit by rest of the respondents.

Petitioner is requesting for fresh notice. Let there be fresh notice which is to be served through the concerned authority considering the address of respondent No.5. Whereas notice upon respondent

...2/-

Item No. 71 :2:

No.4, it is to be served through the City Civil Court Bombay with specific direction to the serving officer to affix the notice at the given address; if it could not be served in due course.

Petitioner has to serve unserved respondents as per Hon'ble Court's order dated 29.9.2008 without fail before 30.3.2009, by dasti mode.

List the matter on 30.3.2009.

(S.G.SHAH)
Registrar