

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) No.822 OF 2008

G.SIMITHAA ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

M.P.AKASH RADHAKRISHNAN ... Respondent(s)

ORDER

Despite service of notice neither has the respondent appeared nor is any one present today on behalf of the respondent to oppose the petition.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the grounds, we are satisfied that a case has been made out to allow the application for transfer. The same is, accordingly, allowed.

Let Petition No.HMOP 266 of 2008 (titled M.P. Akash Radhakrishnan Vs. G.Simithaa), pending before the Family Court at Coimbatore (T.N.) be tranferred to the Family Court at Palakkad, Kerala.

.....J.
(ALTAMAS KABIR)

.....J.
(CYRIAC JOSEPH)

New Delhi,
November 13, 2009.
ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.4 SECTION XVIA

SUPR EME COUR T OF I ND I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 822 OF 2008

G.SIMITHAA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.P.AKASH RADHAKRISHNAN Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 13/11/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of
the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]