

è

C.A.No. 3543 OF 1998
ITEM No.2

Court No. 1

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal.No.3543/1998

AMRITA PATHANIA & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

Respondent (s)

(Office report on default)
With

C.A.Nos.3584-3585/1998
(Office report on default)

Date : 10/07/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Appellant (s) Mr. Chandrashekhar, adv.
for M/s N.P. Aggarwalla & Co.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, adv.
Mr. Maninder Singh, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The office report mentions that Mr. N.P. Aggarwalla, advocate is the counsel for the appellants. It is submitted on his behalf that he is not the counsel for the appellants in these matters. We find from the main file that the advocate for the appellants was M/s. L.P. Aggarwalla & Co. The Registry should have been careful. Explain and take appropriate steps.

.SP1

(Neena Verma)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master