

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.12

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 4437/2009

M.P.STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORP.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANOJ KUMAR &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for bring on record subsequent event and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 4697/2008

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4438/2009

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4439/2009

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4440/2009

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4441/2009

Office Report)

C.A. No. 4442/2009

Office Report)

C.A. No. 4443/2009

Office Report)

C.A. No. 4444/2009

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4445/2009

Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4446/2009

Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 14522/2010

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 14587/2010

Interim Relief and Office Report)



For Respondent(s) Ms. Ranjana Vohra, Adv.  
 For Late Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra, Adv.

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.  
 Mr. Ankit Kr. Lal, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Sunil Singh Parihar, Adv.  
 Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mrs. K. Sarada Devi, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.  
 Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Apurv, Adv.  
 Mr. Priyal Jain, Adv.  
 Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Sabharwal, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Rajesh A., Adv.  
 Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Rajan K. Chourasia, Adv.

Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.  
 Mr. Manjeet Kirpal (N.P)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties finally and during the course of arguments one of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties was that the High Court had set

aside the order of the appellants accepting the VRS application(s) of the respondents and reinstated them in service. However, they were precluded from joining the service and, in the meantime, in the present appeal(s) stay order was passed. The respondents shall file affidavit(s) to the effect that as to whether during the intervening period they were in gainful employment/self-employment or not and, if so, particulars of such employment/self-employment and the salary/wages/income they were earning from that should be given.

We are deferring the pronouncement of judgment, awaiting the said affidavit(s).

List the matters on 08.07.2016.

(Ashwani Thakur)  
COURT MASTER

(Tapan Kr. Chakraborty)  
COURT MASTER