

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M R S . R A C H N A G U P T A

C i v i l A p p e a l N o (s) . 8 2 9 5 / 2 0 1 0

K R I S H N A D E V I

A p p e l l a n t (s)

V E R S U S

N A R E S H K U M A R & O R S .

R e s p o n d e n t (s)

W I T H

C . A . N o . 8 2 9 7 / 2 0 1 0

D a t e : 1 0 / 1 2 / 2 0 1 5 T h i s a p p e a l w a s c a l l e d o n f o r h e a r i n g t o d a y .

F o r A p p e l l a n t (s)

M r J a s b i r S i n g h M a l i k , A d v .

M s . U s h a N a n d i n i . V , A d v .

M r . I r s h a d A h m a d , A d v .

F o r R e s p o n d e n t (s)

M r P i y u s h H a n s , A d v .

M r . K a m a l M o h a n G u p t a , A d v .

M s . S u s m i t a L a l , A d v .

U P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

Service qua all the 20 respondents in both Civil Appeals herein is duly complete where name of respondent nos.1,3 to 5,7,13,17,18 have been deleted from the array of parties in C.A.No.8297/2010. Respondent nos. 8 to 12, 14,19 and 20 despite being served at SLP stage have chosen not to appear in C.A.No.8297/2010.

Similarly, respondent nos. 1 to 8, 10,12,13,17 to 20 in C.A. No.8295/2010 have failed to appear despite being served at SLP stage itself.

Remaining all are well represented.

None of the parties have filed statement of case nor additional documents.

Registry now to process the matters for being listed before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar