

SECTION-IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON: 18.1.2016
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:3
ITEM NO: 301

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5312-5313 OF 2005

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 3-4

(Application for Directions filed by Mr. Manila Karanjawala, Advocate)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5314 OF 2005

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7303 OF 2005

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4 OF 2012

(Application for recalling the Order dated 18.11.2011 in I.A. No.3 of 2011 filed by Ms. Pratibha Jain, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5 OF 2012

(Application for directions filed by Ms. Pratibha Jain, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6 OF 2013

(Application of directions filed by Ms. Pratibha Jain, Advocate)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6587 OF 2005

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3018 OF 2007

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)NO. 10732 OF 2006

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.

(Application for disposing the Petition)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)NO. 6068 OF 2006

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)NO. 2880 OF 2007

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

MAHARASHTRA STAN ELECTRICITY BOARD
& ANR. ETC. ETC.

APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SUPER & STAINLESS HI ALLOYS LID. & ORS.
ETC. ETC.

RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 26.3.2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order.

" Adjourned for one week."

The service positions are as follows:

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5312-5313 OF 2005

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are Three respondents in the Civil Appeal No. 5312 of 2005. Respondent no. 2 is represented though Mr. Manik Karanjawala, Advocate. Respondent nos. 1 and 3 have been served with the notice at the SLP stage but no one has entered Vakalatnamai Appearance on their behalf.

It is further submitted that there are Three respondents in the Civil Appeal No. 5313 of 2005. Respondent no. 1 is represented though Mr. Manik Karanjawala, Advocate. Respondent nos. 2 and 3 have been served with the notice at the SLP stage but no one has entered Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5314 OF 2005

It is submitted that there are Two respondents in this matter. Respondent no. 1 has been served with the notice at the SLP stage and Respondent No. 2 has been served with Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal through High Court but no one has entered Vakalatnarna/Appearance on their behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7303 OF 2005

It is submitted that there are Three respondents in this matter. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate. Respondent no. 3 has been served with Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal through High Court but no one has entered Vakalatnama/Appearance on his behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6587 OF 2005

It is submitted that there are Four respondents in this matter. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Advocate. Respondent nos. 3 and 4 are represented through Mr. S. M. Jadhav, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3018 OF 2007

It is submitted that the Sole respondent in this matter is represented by Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate .

Service of notice is complete in all the above matters.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVILJNO.10732 AND 6068 OF 2006

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 17th July, 2006, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

" Issue notice.
The operation of the impugned order of the High Court shall remain stayed until further orders.
Tag with Civil Appeal Nos. 5312-5313 of 2005."

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION NO. 10732 OF 2006

It is submitted that there are two respondents in the instant matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Praveen Chaturvedi, Advocate after obtaining "No Objection" from erstwhile advocate, Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, and Respondent No. 2 is represented by Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate but no counter affidavit has been filed so far on behalf of both the respondents.

It is submitted that I. A. No. 2 (Application for vacating stay) filed by the the counsel for Respondent No.1 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 22' October, 2007, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"We have heard learned counsel for the parties. The respondent Mis. Jai Tirath Financiers Pvt. Ltd., as per the undertaking given by it, shall deposit the entire arrears of Rs. 83 lakhs in installments as indicated In the proposal dated 9.8.2007. The petitioner shall restore the electricity connection after receipt of first two installments."

It is further submitted that Mr. Ajit S. Bhasme, Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of the Petitioner in interlocutory application No. 2 (application for vacating stay) and the same has already been included in the paper books.

It is lastly submitted that counsel for respondent No. 1 has filed application for disposing the petition having become infructuous but the same is defective for the reason that the copy is not served on Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate and the same (un-registered) has already been included in the paper books.

Service of notice is complete.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)N0.6068 OF 2006

It is submitted that there is a sole respondent in the instant matter represented by Mr.Ashok Mathur, Advocate and he has also filed counter affidavit on behalf of the said respondent which has already been included in the paper books.

Service of notice is complete.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)N0.2880 OF 2007

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09th February, 2007 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Issue notice on the application for condonation of delay as also on the special leave petition."

It is submitted that there are six respondents in the instant matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Advocate and he has filed counter affidavit on behalf of the said respondent which has already been included in the paper books. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are represented by Ms. Garima Prasad, Advocate and counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 2 and the same has already been included in the paper books. Respondent No. 4 i.e. Ajar Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. is represented by Mr. P.N. Gupta, Advocate, counter affidavit has been filed and has already been included in the paper books. Respondent No. 5 is represented by Mr. R.S. Rana, Advocate but no counter affidavit has been filed so far. Courier receipt duly signed has been received back from respondent no. 6 but on has entered appearance on behalf of the said respondent so far.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the petitioner has filed rejoinder affidavit to the counter affidavit of respondent no. 2 and the same has already been included in the paper books.

Service of notice is complete.

The matters alongwith applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 15th day of January, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

...5/-

Copy to :

- 1.
2. Mr.B.VBalramdas, Advocate
3. Mr.A.S.Bhasme, Advocate
4. Mrs.Manik Karanjawala, Advocate
5. Ms.Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv
6. Mr.Ashok Mathur, Adv
7. Mr.R.P.Goyal, Adv
8. Mr.Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
9. Mr.Prarnod Swarup, Advocate
10. Ms Heniantika Wah, Advocate

Mr.B.VDesai, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ga