

Crl.A.No. 461 OF 1998

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO. 9

SECTION IIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRL. APPEAL NO. 461/1998

THANGAVEL

Appellant

Versus

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent

(With Office Report)

Date. 01.05.2001 This/These Petition(s) was/were called on  
for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.B. SHAH  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL

For the Appellant(s)

Mr.M.N.Krishnamani,Sr.Adv.,  
Mr.R.Ayyam Perumal,Adv.,  
Mr.Soumyajit Pani,Adv.

For the Respondent(s)

Mr.V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

The appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

(Vijay Kumar Sharma)  
Court Master

(K.K. Chadha)  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRL. APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRL. APPEAL NO. 461 OF 1998@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

THANGAVEL

Appellant

Versus

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

We are taken through the entire evidence on record as well as the judgment and order passed by the High Court. In our view, the High Court has succinctly appreciated the circumstantial evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt the guilt of the accused. In this view of the matter, the appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.  
( M.B. Shah)

.....J.  
( Shivaraj V. Patil)

New Delhi,  
May 1,2001.