

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.1

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 20740/2008  
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated  
29/12/2007 in CWP No. 999/2006 passed by the High Court Of  
Himachal Pradesh At Shimla)

SARVJEET

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF H.P.& ORS. Respondent(s)  
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and directions and  
intervention and permission to file additional documents and  
permission to place addl. documents on record and interim  
relief and office report)  
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 34038/2012  
(With appln.(s) for directions and Interim Relief and Office  
Report)

SLP(C) No. 1866/2013  
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 5269/2013  
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 13674-13675/2013  
(With appln.(s) for impleadment and appln.(s) for permission to  
file SLP and appln.(s) for impleadment and Office Report)

Date : 21/01/2015 These petitions were called on  
for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

Signature Not Verified

For Petitioner(s)

Digitally signed by

Mr. M. C. Dhingra, Adv.

Charanjeet Kaur

Date: 2015.01.24

14:00:01 IST

Mr. Ashwani K Gupta, Adv.

Reason:

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Adv.  
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Bhaskar Y. Kulkarni, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Adv.  
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.

Mr. A.N. Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, Addl. Adv. Gen.  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 20740 of 2008

Delay condoned.

We permit the petitioner to make an appropriate representation before the respondent(s), within a month's time from today inter alia, bringing to their notice that the special leave petitions filed by the State of Himachal Pradesh have been dismissed and therefore, he is entitled to the benefits accrued in terms of judgments of this Court in the case of Mool Raj Upadhyaya vs. State of H.P. & Ors, 1994 (Suppl.) SCC 316 and State of H.P. & Ors. vs. Gehar Singh, 2007 (12) SCC 43.

If such representation is made within the time granted, the respondent(s) shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within an outer limit of six months.

3

The special leave petition is disposed of accordingly.

Rest of the matters

List on 26.02.2015.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]  
Court Master

[ Vinod Kulvi ]  
Asstt. Registrar