

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 1162-1163 OF 2013

STATE OF BIHAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

VINOD KUMAR @ CHHOTUA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, learned Counsel appearing for the appellant-State of Bihar and Mr. Sunil Kumar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondent No.1 at length.

We have been taken through the judgments of the trial Court as well as of the High Court. We find that the High Court, after analyzing the evidence, has drawn the correct conclusions.

We have also taken note of the evidence of the witnesses and according to us, the High Court has correctly assessed the same.

Hence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment dated 09.04.2007 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi.

Accordingly, we find no merit in the criminal appeals and the same are dismissed.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
R.NATARAJAN
Date: 2016.07.18
16:47:42 IST
Reason:

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI;
July 14, 2016.

.....J
(AMITAVA ROY)

ITEM NO.107

COURT NO.10

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1162-1163/2013

STATE OF BIHAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

VINOD KUMAR @ CHHOTUA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

CrI.A. No. 1180/2013 (Office Report)

Date : 14/07/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, AOR

Mr. Himanshu Munshi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shree Prakash Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Mohua Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Yogesh G., Adv.
Mr. Nawalendra Kumar, Adv.
For Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sweety Singh, Adv.
For Mrs Sarla Chandra, AOR

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.
Mr. Anil K. Jha, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1162-1163/2013:

The Criminal Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

3

CrI.A. No. 1180/2013:

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the
learned arguing counsel is not well and prays for an adjournment.

In view of the prayer made, list this Criminal Appeal on
Wednesday, the 20th July, 2016.

(R.NATARAJAN)
Court Master

(H.S. NEGI)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)