

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.9

SECTION XVIA

NMD CASE

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 726 OF 2003

NEELAM TRIPATHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIVEK TRIPATHI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and inquiry under Section 340 of Cr.P.C. and office report )

WITH T.P.(CRL) NO. 133-133A of 2004

(With appln(s) for stay and exemption from filing O.T. and exemption from filing O.T  
and initiating contempt proceedings

Date: 10/01/2007 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mahesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. P.J. Mehta, Adv.

Mrs. V.D. Khanna, Adv. for

M/S I.M. Nanavati Associates, Adv.

Mr. Nalin Jain, Adv.

Mr. Pravir Kumar Jain, Adv.

Ms. Sonal Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nalin Jain, Adv.

Mr. Pravir Kumar Jain, Adv.

Ms. Sonal Jain, Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. P.J. Mehta, Adv.

Mrs. V.D. Khanna, Adv. for

M/S I.M. Nanavati Associates, Adv.

2

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

T.P. (C) No. 726/2003

The Transfer Petition is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

T.P. (Crl.) No. 133-133A/2004

The petitioners are directed to give the details of the advocates

whom they had approached for conducting the criminal case at Rewa and

have allegedly refused to appear for them.

As prayed, list after three weeks.

(Pardeep Kumar)

Court Master

(Radha R. Bhatia)

Court Master

[ SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]

3

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.726 OF 2003

NEELAM TRIPATHI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

VIVEK TRIPATHI

..RESPONDENT

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. Though the case was adjourned several times to explore the possibility of a settlement but learned counsel have informed that the talks have failed and no settlement could be arrived at between the parties.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that the Divorce Case filed by the respondent, which is pending before the Family Court, Lucknow, may be transferred to a third place which may be

convenient to both the parties. Suit No. 627/2003 (Vivek Tripathi

4

Vs. Neelam Tripathi) pending before the Family Court, Lucknow

is accordingly transferred to the Family Court, Allahabad. The

Judge, Family Court, Lucknow shall forthwith transmit the

entire record of the case to the Family Court, Allahabad.

The Transfer Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.

[ G.P. MATHUR ]

.....

J.

[ A.K. MATHUR ]

New Delhi

January 10, 2007.

5