

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.19967-19977/2003

(From the judgment & order dated 17/09/2003 in W.Ps.7029 (S/B)/02, 19 (S/S)/02, 16 (S/S)/02, 1115 (S/S)/02, 44 (S/S)/02, 4941 (S/S)/01, 7019 (S/S)/01, 26 (S/S)/02, 25(S/S)/02, 4916 (S/S)/01 and 95 (S/S)/02 of the High Court of Uttaranchal at Nainital)

JAIPRAKASH & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARANCHAL & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and permission to place addl. documents on record)

Date : 19/12/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma,Adv.(N.P.)

For Respondent (s)

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

In the above matters, though a letter seeking for adjournment by four weeks has been filed, no one is present when the matter is called and the request also can

-2-

not be acceded to. The special leave petitions, when came first before this Court for orders as to admission on 31.10.2003, adjournment was granted at request made by four weeks. The matter was again, thereafter, on 28.11.2003, adjourned finally by one week. In spite of it again, at request made, the matter was adjourned on 05.12.2003 by two weeks. In spite of it, we find there is total indifference in pursuing the matters, and under some pretext or other, it is being dragged on.

Even on merits, the matter relates to mere vacation of an interim order and having regard to the reasons recorded in the order under challenge, the challenge does not seem to be either well merited or on tenable grounds.

For all the reasons stated above, the special leave petitions shall stand dismissed.

(Neena Verma) (Vijay Aggarwal)

Court Master

Court Master