

ITEM NO.1703

COURT NO.15

REVISED
SECTION IIIS U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 259/2020

SONABEN LAVJIBHAI PATEL

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NATVARLAL OCCHAVLAL DESAI(D)THR.LRS.

Respondent(s)

(CA 290 of 2020 to be listed :- IA for Substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing setting aside abatement to be listed before the Hon'ble Chamber Judge)

WITH

C.A. No. 290/2020 (III)

(FOR APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION ON IA 111997/2023

FOR APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE APPLICATION

FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENT ON IA 111999/2023

FOR APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE APPLICATION

FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENT ON IA 112991/2023

IA No. 112991/2023 - APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
THE APPLICATION FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENTIA No. 111999/2023 - APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
THE APPLICATION FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENT

IA No. 111997/2023 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION)

Date : 03-10-2024 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Ms. E. R. Sumathy, AOR

Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Pal, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Thakur, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A. Rasheed Qureshi, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. R. Prashant Bhrigu, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, AOR

Ms. E. R. Sumathy, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for setting aside abatement is condoned.

After condoning the delay, application for setting aside abatement is allowed.

Application for substitution of appellant no. 1.4 stands allowed.

Necessary corrections be carried out in the cause title.

Amended memo of parties be filed within four weeks.

Let fresh notice be issued to the newly added respondents.

Dasti, in addition, is also permitted.

Mr. Suraj Pandey, learned counsel, states that Advocate representing the respondent no.2, Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, who was earlier represented the respondent no.2 stands designated as Sr. Advocate. He has already informed the client of making alternate arrangements.

In this view of the matter, no other and further order(s) are required to be passed. Respondent no.2 is directed to be proceeded as *ex-parte* reserving liberty to take steps for recalling of the order with proper representation.

List on 08.11.2024.

(VARSHA MENDIRATTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MATHEW ABRAHAM)
COURT MASTER (NSH)