

b

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) No.37 OF 2011
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION No.17 OF 2009

M/S PARAMOUNT INVESTMENTS LTD.

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SIRAJUDEEN KASIM & ANR.

.....RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. In this petition, prayer has been made for review of order dated 02.08.2010 passed in Arbitration Petition No.17 of 2009.
2. The petitioner has also filed an application for condonation of 110 days' delay in filing the review petition. I have perused the averments contained in the application for condonation of delay and are convinced that the explanation given by the petitioner is wholly unsatisfactory. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed. As a sequel to this, the review petition is liable to be dismissed as barred by time.
3. Even otherwise, after having gone through the review petition and the record of the arbitration petition I do not find any justification to review order dated 02.08.2010. The review petition is accordingly dismissed as barred by time and also on merits.

.....J.
(ASOK KUMAR GANGULY)

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 8, 2011.
CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 37 OF 2001 IN ARBIT.PET.NO.17/2009

M/S PARAMOUNT INVESTMENTS LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SIRAJUDEEN KASIM & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 08/02/2011 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petition is dismissed as barred by time and
also on merits in terms of the signed order.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)