

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.5

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Civil) No.341 of 2008

SABU MATHEW GEORGE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents)

Date : 18/08/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.
Mr. Ritwik Parikh, Adv.
Ms. Mamta Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Ninni Susan Thomas, Adv.
Ms. Manjula Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, S.G.
Mr. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.
Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Suzan Poovayya, Sr. ASDv.
Mr. Praveen Sehrawat, Adv.
Mr. Priyadarshi Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Pachnanda, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

Digitally signed by

Chetan Kumar

Date: 2015.08.18

17:35:23 IST

Reason:

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manu Nair, Adv.
Ms. Saanjh N. Purohit, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Shroff, AOR

WP(C) 341/08

Mr. Anupam Lal Das, AOR

Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is submitted by Mr. Sanjay Parikh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that he has filed an affidavit on 14th August, 2015, ascertaining that despite the interim order passed by this Court that there should not be any advertisement by the respondent Nos.3 to 5, the advertisement is still carried on.

Mr. Shyam Divan and Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents, pray for two weeks' time to file response to the said affidavit. Prayer stands allowed.

At this stage, Mr. Sanjay Parikh has drawn our attention to a part of the order dated 12th May, 2015, which reads as follows:

"Mr. Sanjay Parikh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that vide order dated 28th January, 2015, this Court had directed to reflect the said order on the "policy page" as also on the page containing "terms and conditions of service", but the "policy page" does not sub-serve the purpose and, therefore, it should be put on the "Home page". Learned counsel for the respondents pray for some time to file response to the same. Be it noted, Mr. Sanjay Parikh, learned counsel, has submitted with immense concern that when it is reflected in the "Home page", there is a real warning, but when it is mentioned in the "policy page", it

WP(C) 341/08

3

does not really come within the public domain as is expected."

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India, shall file an affidavit of the competent authority in that regard, in addition to the substantive affidavit that is required to be filed by the Union of India with regard to the affidavit filed on 14th August, 2015, by the petitioner. The contesting respondent Nos.2 to 5 shall also file their respective affidavits in that regard.

Let the matter be listed after four weeks.

Liberty to mention.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master