

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.2

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F      I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.NO.2 IN

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20598/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 20/04/2010 in      WP No. 2627/2010  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

D.T.C.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHANCHAL SHARMA  
(for stay)

Respondent(s)

Date: 07/10/2010      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s)      Mr.    Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Hariom Yaduvanshi, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Abhinav Jain, Adv.  
                                 Dr.    Monika Gusain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)      Ms. Titu Bajaj, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Vineet Maheshwari, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Rishi Maheshwari, Adv.  
                                 Mrs. Shally Bhasin Maheshwari, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On 14th September, 2010, while giving  
directiond for filing of affidavits in the Special  
Leave Petition, we had also stayed the contempt  
proceedings, which were pending before the Central

2

Administrative Tribunal, New Delhi.

The matter

was directed to appear for further consideration

today.      But in the meantime,      it appears that the

learned Tribunal      has on      20th      September,      2010,

passed the following order:

"In view of stay of  
contempt proceedings by the  
Apex Court in Special Leave  
Petition(Civil)      No.20598/2010

on 14.9.2010, this C.P stands disposed of with liberty to the applicant to revive it at an appropriate stage. Notice is discharged. No costs."

2. The effect of the said order is that the contempt proceedings stand disposed of. However, at the same time, liberty has been given to the respondent herein to revive the same at an appropriate stage. Consequently, if the stay order in respect of the contempt proceedings is not continued, the contempt proceedings would stand revived automatically.

3. In this rather peculiar situation, we have no option but to direct that the main matter itself be heard out at an early date. Accordingly, the sole respondent is given further two weeks time to file counter affidavit to the Special Leave Petition; rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

3

4. Liberty is given to the parties to mention the matter for early listing as soon as the pleadings are complete.

5. The interim order, which had been passed on 14th September, 2010, will continue until further orders.

6. The I.A. stands disposed of.

7. Let the copy of the order of the Tribunal dated 20th September, 2010, produced by Mr. Raju Ramachandran, learned senior advocate, appearing for the petitioner, be kept on the records.

(Sheetal Dhingra)  
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)  
Court Master

[PS the copy of Tribunal's order dated 20/0910, is enclosed]