

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 5047-5058/2004

COMMNR. OF CENTRAL EXCISE, MEERUT & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. RANJEEV ALLOY LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 1045-1046/2004

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 10/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Yashank Adhyaru, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Nisha Bagchi, Adv.

Ms. Shweta Garg, Adv.

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajendra Singhvi, Adv.

Mr. K.K.L. Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

(SUMAN WADHWA)

AR-cum-PS

(SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Suman Wadhwa

Date: 2015.04.18

11:25:27 IST

Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5047-5058 OF 2004

Commnr. Of Central Excise, Meerut & Ors.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/s. Ranjeev Alloy Ltd. & Ors.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1045-1046 OF 2004

O R D E R

We find that the Tribunal by the impugned order has simply remanded the case back to the adjudicating authority. Counsel on both sides point out as to whether final orders have been passed by the adjudicating authority or not. Be as it may, we deem it is not necessary to deal with the issue where only the remand order was made by the Tribunal.

The appeals are dismissed on this ground alone.

.....J.
(A.K.SIKRI)

.....J.
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

New Delhi;
Date: 10.4.2015.