

a

R.P(Crl.)No. 1576 OF 2001  
CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (Crl.) NO. 1576 OF 2001@@  
EE

IN

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 4209 OF 1999@@  
EE

Madan @ Madhu ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of M.P. .... Respondent  
(With Appln.(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)  
(With Office Report)

Date : 12/12/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2  
Review Petition is dismissed in terms of signed order.  
.SP1

(N.K. GOEL) (H.K. BHATIA)@@  
BB  
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER@@  
BB  
(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (Crl.) NO. 1576 OF 2001@@  
EE

IN

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 4209 OF 1999@@  
EE

Madan @ Madhu ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of M.P.

..... Respondent

O R D E R@@  
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

There is an inordinate delay of 605 days in filing this review petition. The explanation offered for condonation of delay is neither satisfactory nor reasonable. The application seeking condonation of delay is, therefore, rejected.

Even otherwise, we have carefully gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We do not find any merit in the petition for review which is accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.....J.@@  
BB  
(K.T. THOMAS)@@

NEW DELHI .....J.@@  
BB  
DECEMBER 12, 2001 (M.B. SHAH)@@

.PA