

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1847 OF 2009

PRATAP SINGH & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

HARI CHAND & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that he has not received any instruction from the appellants since long time in the matter, although he tried to contact them.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has specifically stated that the appellant No.1 has died and the appellant No.2 has already retired from service and further, all the other respondents who were interested parties in the matter, have already retired from their services and thus according to him, this appeal has become infructuous at this stage.

The learned counsel for the State has also submitted before us that the orders passed by the learned Single Judge has already been given effect to.

In view of the aforesaid submissions made before us by both the sides, no further order is required to be passed in the matter, as the same has become infructuous. However, we grant liberty to the learned counsel for the appellants that if he receives any instruction in the matter from his clients and if the

cause of action is still surviving, he may mention the matter for restoration after giving notice to the opposite parties.

Accordingly, we dispose of this appeal in the above lines, as per the facts stated before us.

.....J.  
[PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE]

.....J.  
[AMITAVA ROY]

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 22, 2016

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 1847/2009

PRATAP SINGH &amp; ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HARI CHAND &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 22/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anandt K. Vatsya, Adv.  
Mr Naresingh Narain Rai, Adv.  
Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Kulbir Singh Malik, Adv.  
Dr. Sushil Balwada, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.  
Mr. Sekhar Raj Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. B.S. Gautam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(SWETA DHYANI)  
SR.P.A.

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)