

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 281 OF 2004

VASUNDHARA ARAYANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR SASHI

Respondent(s)

( With appln(s) for Stay and exemption from filing O.T. )

WITH T.P.(C) NO. 742 of 2004

(With appln(s) for stay and exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date: 11/03/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shishir Pinaki, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The transfer petitions are rejected in terms of the signed orders.

(Sheetal Dhingra)

(Vijay D

hawan)

Court Master

Court Master

[signed orders are placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (Crl.)NO.281 OF 2004

VASUNDHARA ARAYANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR SASHI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard counsel for the parties.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we are not persuaded to

allow the transfer petition.

The transfer petition is accordingly rejected.

.....J.

.....

(B.P. SINGH)

.....J.

.....

New Delhi

March 11, 2005.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C)NO. 742 OF 2004

VASUNDHARA ARAYANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR SASHI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard counsel for the parties.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we are not persuaded to

allow the transfer petition.

The transfer petition is accordingly rejected.

.....J.

.....

(B.P. SINGH)

.....J.

.....

(ARUN KUMAR)

New Delhi

March 11, 2005.