

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No. 387 of 2002@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Amzad & Anr.

Appellant (s)

Versus

State of Karnataka

Respondent (s)

(With Office Report)

Dated: 12/03/2003: This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant (s) Ms. Anu Mohla, Adv. (AC)

For Respondent (s) Mr. Siddharth Dave, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

UPON hearing Counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R@@
BBBBBBBBBB

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

After hearing learned counsel for the parties for about 10 minutes, the Court dismissed the appeal.

.SP1

(S.Thapar) (V.P. Tyagi) @@

AA
PS to Registrar Court Master@@
AA

The signed order is placed on the file.

.PL56
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 387 OF 2002@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Amzad & Anr. Appellant (s)

Versus

State of Karnataka Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Limited notice was issued with regard to the nature of offence. The appellants have been convicted for offence under Section 302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The submission of learned counsel for the appellants is that the conviction deserves to be altered from Section 302 IPC to Section 304 IPC. Having heard learned counsel, we find no substance in the contention. There is no infirmity in the impugned judgment. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

.....J
(Y.K. Sabharwal)

New Delhi,
March 12, 2003

.....J
(H.K. Sema)