

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.6

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).24413-
24414/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 30/10/2007 in WA No.288/2006,WA
No.289/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

S.B.G.SOFTWARE P.LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

H.G.SHEELA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
WITH SLP(C) NO. 21723-21724 of 2008
(with appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 26897-26898 of 2008
(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in filing
substitution appln and substitution of respondent and office report)

Date: 17/02/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Fazlin Anam, Adv.
 Mr. E.M.S. Anam,Adv.(Not present)

 Ms. Kiran Suri, Adv.
 Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
 Mr. Nakibur Rahman Barbhuiya, Adv.

 Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
 Ms. Vishruti Vijay, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mrs. S. Usha Reddy,AOR

 Ms. Kiran Suri, Adv.
 Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
 Mr. Nakibur Rahman Barbhuiya, Adv.

 Mr. K.K. Mani ,Adv
 Mr. K.S. Ramu, Adv.

 Mr. R.S. Hegde, Adv.

2

Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.
Mr.P.C. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P.P. Singh ,Adv(Not present)

Mr. S.N. Bhat ,Adv
Mr. D.P. Chaturvedi, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
Ms. Vishruti Vijay, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mani ,Adv
Mr. K.S. Ramu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner in S.L.P.(C) Nos. 24413-24414 of 2007 and learned counsel for some of the respondents in these petitions say that their clients are making efforts for negotiated settlement and are hopeful that the matter will be finally settled before the next date of hearing.

As requested by learned counsel, hearing of these petitions is adjourned for eight weeks.

If by the next date the parties do not settle the matter, then it will be presumed that today's statement made at the behest of the parties was a ruse for an adjournment and the concerned parties may have to suffer costs.

(Parveen Kr.Chawla)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master