

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2011
CC 2085/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 17/03/2010 in
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI) FAO No. 60/2010

M/S NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTH.OF INDIA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BRIDGE & ROOF CO.INDIA LTD. Respondent(s)

With I.A.1(C/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and
office report)

Date: 18/02/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Amit Singh Chadda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Puneet Agarwal, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.C. Markanda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Markanda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Anand, Adv.
Mr. Yakesh Anand, Adv.
Ms. Divya Nishant, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This Special Leave Petition has been
filed by M/s. National Highway Authority of India.

The respondent is Bridge & Roof Co.India Ltd.
Both of them are Public Sector Undertakings and

have also gone before the Dispute Review Board in

-2- SLP...CC.2085/11

regard to their disputes. Having regard to the

fact that the respondent is also a Public Sector
Undertaking, we are not inclined to interfere with
the order impugned in the Special Leave Petition.

As has been indicated in the impugned order, the

petitioner already holds a Bank Guarantee of Rs.35 Crores from the respondent.

However, while dismissing the Special Leave Petition, we also request the learned Arbitrators in both the Arbitral Proceedings between the parties to dispose of the same within six months from the date of communication of this order.

This order is without any prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties in the arbitral proceedings, where the petitioner herein is the claimant.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master