

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5643-5656 OF 2002

STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.
VERSUS
SURESH PADDHARIA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 23/04/2008 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Appellant(s) Mr. R.P. Bhatt, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.
Ms. Pinky, Adv.
Ms. Jena, Adv.
Ms. Sangeeta Sinh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Raju Ramchandran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahendra B. Anand, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde ,Adv
Mr. Amit Kr. Chawla, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. A. Rohan Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Choudhary, Adv.
Ms. Rucha Mayee, Adv.

Mr. H.A. Raichura ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Having heard learned counsel for the parties at great length,
we are clearly of the view that Rule 9 interpreted by the High Court
was a correct view and we see no merit in these appeals. They are,
accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

(Ravi P. Verma) (Anand Singh)
Court Master Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5643-5656 OF 2002

STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

.....APPELLANT(S)

Versus

SURESH PADDHARIA & ORS.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

Having heard learned counsel for the parties at great length, we
are clearly of the view that Rule 9 as interpreted by the High Court was

a correct view and we see no merit in these appeals.

They are,

accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

.....J.
(H.K. SEMA)

New Delhi;
April 23, 2008.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)