

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010  
(CC 11417-11419/2010)  
(From the judgement and order dated 07/09/2009 in SA No. 1233/2006  
& SA No. 1287/2006 & SA No. 884/2009 & order dated 22.03.2010 in  
RSAMP No. 2550/2009 in SA No. 884/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P  
AT HYDERABAD)

SHANKER RAO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHANTHA BAI

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1-3 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 09/08/2010                      These      Petitions      were      called      on      for      hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.J.Prabhakar,Adv.  
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju,Adv.  
Mr.D.Julius Riamei,Adv.  
Mr.Gaichangpon Gangmei, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

These petitions are directed against the judgment of the learned Single Judge of Andhra Pradesh High Court, who dismissed the second appeals preferred by the petitioner against the judgment rendered by the lower appellate Court upholding the judgment and decree of the trial Court in a suit for declaration of title and possession.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. In our view, the impugned judgment does not suffer from any legal infirmity warranting interference under Article 136 of the

2

Constitution.

The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed. However, it is made clear that the impugned judgment as also the judgments of the trial Court and lower appellate Court shall not prejudice the rights of the parties in any future litigation.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master