

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 67-69 OF 2005

INTELLIGENCE OFFICER, NARCOTICS C. BUREAU

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH POPATLAL THAKKAR @ RAJU BHAI & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With office report for direction)

Date: 09/07/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B. Bhattacharya, ASG
Ms. Ranjana Narayan, Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Aravindh, Adv.
Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Appeal which pertains to Mr. Rajesh Popatlal
Thakkar is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(VINOD KULVI)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.67-69 OF 2005

INTELLIGENCE OFFICER, NARCOTICS C. BUREAU

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH POPATLAL THAKKAR @ RAJU BHAI & ORS

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. In spite of granting sufficient time, learned ASG is not in a position to furnish the correct address and particulars of respondent no.1. In our opinion, no purpose would be served by granting any more time to the appellant to

serve respondent no.1, namely, Mr. Rajesh Popatlal Thakkar.

2. In view of this, the appeal which pertains to Mr. Rajesh Popatlal Thakkar is dismissed. However, we grant liberty to the appellant to make an appropriate application for revival of the criminal appeal against respondent no.1 if the appellant is able to trace out the correct and appropriate address of respondent no.1.

.....J.
(H.L. DATTU)

.....J.
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)

NEW DELHI
JULY 09, 2012