

T
Cr1.A.No. 933 OF 2001
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
ITEM No. 109 Court No.1 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 933 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

DINESAN @ KUNHAVA APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA RESPONDENT (S)
(With Office Report)

Date : 07/08/2002 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant (s) Mr. L.K. Pandey, Adv. (A.C.)

For Respondent (s) Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Mr. L.K. Pandey, learned amicus commenced arguments at 2.25 p.m. and concluded at 2.35 p.m.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1
(P.D. Balodi) (S.L. Goyal)
Court Master Court Master
(The signed order is placed on the file)

.PA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 933 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

DINESAN @ KUNHAVA

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that the decision of the High Court is correct and does not call for any interference. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

.SP1

.....CJI.

.....J.
(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

New Delhi,
August 7, 2002.