

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R S . G . S H A H

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).34231-34232/2010

M/S U.P.ALLOYS(P) LTD.& ANR.

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 27/03/2012

These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

U P O N h e a r i n g c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

Nobody is present for the petitioner.

Even if the matter has been restored as per order dated 28.11.2011, the fact remains that petitioner has to confirm service upon remaining unserved respondents as per earlier order dated 7.5.2010 which is effective till date so far as confirming service upon unserved respondents is concerned.

Today, Mr Manoj Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Pradeep Misra, Advocate-on-record is present for respondent Nos. 3,4 and 5 and seeking time to file counter affidavit. It is his say that their name does not appear in the cause list. However, the record does not confirm their

-2-

Item No.69

appearance though they have filed vakalatnama on 24.2.2010.

The ld. Advocate has shown a copy of the filing memo with endorsement of filing vakalatnama on such date. Registry has to rectify the database so as to disclose the name of the ld.

Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in the cause list without fail.

If petitioner fails to confirm service upon unserved respondent Nos. 1 and 2 either by dasti mode which is permitted to be served through the standing counsel or by selecting appropriate mode of service or by taking appropriate steps on or before 7.5.2012, list again before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

hj