

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3008-3011 OF 2008

PEERAMBI & ORS.APPELLANTS

VERSUS

SUSHEELA & ORS.RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Learned counsel appearing for the appellants submits that he has filed applications for restoration of the civil appeals which were dismissed for non-compliance of office report dated 14.6.2011.

Mr. Amit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicants, filed applications for substitution to bring on record the legal heirs of deceased appellant no.2 along with applications for condonation of delay and setting aside abatement being I.A. Nos.29-32/2016, 33-36/2016 and 37-40/2016, respectively.

The aforesaid interlocutory applications were listed for consideration before the Hon'ble Chamber Judge on 5.9.2016, when learned counsel for the applicants prayed for withdrawal of I.A. Nos.29-32/2016, 33-36/2016 and 37-40/2016, but inadvertently, order dated 5.9.2016, reflects withdrawal of applications for restoration of main appeals, instead of withdrawal of substitution

applications.

Again today, learned counsel appearing for the applicants, seeks permission to withdraw I.A. Nos.29-32/2016, 33-36/2016 and 37-40/2016.

Permission, as sought for, is granted.

Accordingly, I.A. Nos.29-32/2016, 33-36/2016 and 37-40/2016, are dismissed as withdrawn.

For the sufficient cause shown in the interlocutory applications for restoration, the same are allowed and the civil appeals are restored to their original numbers.

Application for permission to file statement of case on behalf of the appellant is allowed.

.....J
[ASHOK BHUSHAN]

New Delhi;
October 05, 2016.

