

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 31 of 2001@@  
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE  
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 4546 of 2000)

Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Anr. ..Appellants  
Vs.  
State through N.C.T. of Delhi ..Respondent

O R D E R@@  
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

Leave granted.

After hearing both sides we feel that a detailed order is not necessary. All that is required is that the order passed by the trial court releasing the goods to the complainant - respondent can be added with one more condition. Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel submits that the goods are worth Rs. 42 lacs. Mr. P.H. Parekh, learned counsel for the complainant-respondent elaborated the difficulties of the complainant in furnishing security for the entire amount. After considering all aspects we think that complainant-respondent can furnish a bank guarantee for a sum of Rs. 15 lacs and for the remaining amount the complainant-respondent will furnish security in the form of indemnity bond with two solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the trial court. Only on furnishing the said security as indicated above the goods need be released to the complainant-respondent.

This appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J.@@

BB

(K.T. THOMAS)@@

BB

.....J.@@

BB

(R.P. SETHI)@@

BB

NEW DELHI@@

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

JANUARY 8, 2001 @@

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.PL60

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 31 of 2001@@  
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE  
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 4546 of 2000)

Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Anr. ..Appellants  
Vs.  
State through N.C.T. of Delhi ..Respondent



