

ITEM NO.2                      REGISTRAR COURT                      SECTION IV-A  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MS. SUJATA SINGH

Civil Appeal No(s). 6640/2010

HEMALATHA (D) BY LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

TUKARAM(D) BY LRS.. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 05-03-2025 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Bhardwaj S Iyengar, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, AOR  
Ms. Ankita Kashyap, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Tarun Kumar Thakur, Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Ram R, Adv.  
Mrs. Parvati Bhat, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Respondent Nos.1 and 3 to 5 are duly represented.

Counter affidavit, if any be filed in the meantime.

Respondent Nos. 8 and 10 have been deleted vide order dated 29.7.2016 of the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers.

Service is complete on Proposed Lr nos. 12.1, 12.4 to 12.6 but none has entered appearance.

Ld. Counsel for appellant has filed affidavit of dasti service with regard to proposed Lr. Nos. 12.2 and 12.3 stating therein that they have refused to accept notice. Refusal through dasti is not a proper service, in light of order LIII of Supreme Court Rules, 2013.

It has been submitted by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that permission be granted again to serve above-mentioned unserved respondents through dasti.

Dasti in addition is allowed as prayed.

Let affidavit of dasti service be filed within a period of four weeks.

Service of notice is complete on remaining respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

List again on 25.4.2025.

SUJATA SINGH  
Registrar

MG