

V

Cr1.A.No. 332 OF 2004
ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 332 OF 2004

PUNJAB SINGH & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 334 of 2004 AND 333 of 2004
(With office report)

Date: 14/10/2004 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Appellant(s) Mr. K.B. Sinha, Sr.Adv.
In CRLA 332/04 Mr. H.S. Munjral,Adv.
Mrs. Kawaljit Kochar,Adv.
Mr. C.P. Sharma,Adv.
Mr. D. Jha,Adv.
Ms. Kusum Chaudhary,Adv.

In CRLA 333/04 Mr. S.C. Paul,Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kulshreshtha,Adv.
Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

In CRLA 334/04 Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Bimal Roy Jad,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Neena Verma) (Vijay Dhawan)
Court Master Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.332 OF 2004

Punjab Singh and Anr.
...Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab
...Respondent
With
Criminal Appeal Nos.334 and 333 of 2004

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we feel that the proper conviction of the accused-appellants would be under Section 354 read with Section 34 IPC along with Section 366 read with Section 34 IPC. Custodial sentence of two years' in case of Section 354 IPC along with a fine of Rs.1,000/- and three years' in case of Section 366 IPC with fine of Rs.1,000/- with the default stipulations of six months' rigorous imprisonment would meet the ends of justice. The sentences shall run concurrently.

The appeals are, accordingly, disposed of.

(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

.....J.

.....J.

(C.K. THAKKER)

New Delhi,
October 14, 2004.