

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No. 2362/2010

ONKAR SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

We have heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant – Onkar Singh and learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab at a considerable length.

We have been taken through the statements of witnesses, other evidence and Judgments of the Trial Court as well as the High Court delivered in this matter.

After carefully perusing the material available on record, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned Judgment passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh, whereby the said Court has upheld the conviction of the appellant under Section 304B of Indian Penal Code and also the sentence of 7 years R.I., with default stipulations, awarded by the Trial Court.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed on merits.

During the course of hearing, we are informed by learned counsel appearing for the appellant that his client has already undergone around 3 years' incarceration.

Consequent upon dismissal of the Appeal, bail granted by this Court to the appellant on 13-12-2010 stands cancelled and he is directed to surrender before the Trial Court to serve out the remaining period of sentence within a period of two weeks from today, failing which, the concerned Police Authorities shall take him into custody for the said purpose.

.....CJI
(N.V. RAMANA)

.....J
(SURYA KANT)

.....J
(A.S. BOPANNA)

NEW DELHI;
8TH SEPTEMBER, 2021.

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No. 2362/2010

ONKAR SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

Date : 08-09-2021 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sunder Khatri, Adv.
Mrs. Shital Khatri, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Goyal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Karan Bharihoke, AOR
Mr. Siddhant Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Consequent upon dismissal of the Appeal, bail granted by this Court to the appellant on 13-12-2010 stands cancelled and he is directed to surrender before the Trial Court to serve the remaining period of sentence within a period of two weeks from today, failing which, the concerned Police Authorities shall take him into custody for the said purpose.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SUNIL KUMAR RAJVANSHI)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Transfer Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 252/2014

DIPTI SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JAI SHARMA

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This petition under Section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code has been filed by the petitioner (the wife) for transfer of petition viz. Divorce Case No. 514 of 2013 pending in the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh titled as "Jai Sharma Vs. Dipti Sharma" to the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

On notice, respondent appeared and on the request of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the case was referred to the Supreme Court Mediation Centre. The Supreme Court Mediation Centre has sent a Report dated 30-4-2014 stating that the parties could not arrive at any amicable settlement.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the grounds taken in the transfer petition and the fact that petitioner is a lady and it will be difficult for her to travel from Bhopal to Varanasi, we direct that the case pending in the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh titled as "Jai Sharma Vs. Dipti Sharma" be transferred to the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

Let the record of the case be transferred without delay.

The Transfer Petition is allowed in the afore-stated terms.

.....J
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)

.....J
(N.V. RAMANA)

NEW DELHI;
15TH DECEMBER, 2014.