

\220

C.A.No. 2509 OF 2002

ITEM No.101

Court No. 1

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 2509 of 2002

Aditya Pratap Bhanj Dev

...Appellant(s)

vs.

A.P. Scheduled Tribe Employees Association & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date: 17/02/2004 This/These matter(s) was/were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

For Appellant (s)Mr. P P Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. J V Suryanarayana, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.
Mr. Venkat Rangadas Kanuri, Adv.
Mr. T Lakshminarayana, Adv.
Ms. Mahadakshini Pavani, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. B Tarakam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T N Rao, Adv.
Mr. A Ramesh, Adv.
Mr. Maheshwara Rao, Adv.

Mr. B Sridhar, Adv.
Mr. K Ram Kumar, Adv.

Mr. T V Ratnam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. P P Rao, learned senior counsel for the appellant at length.

When the learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No. 1 commenced his arguments and relied upon the document referred to at page 93 of Vol. I of the paperbooks, the learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant stated that this document could not be relied upon as no opportunity at all was given to the appellant to explain the same either before the High Court or before this Court. We do not accept this submission that no opportunity was given in this Court because at the time of filing the special leave petition the appellant was aware of this document. However, seeing that justice is done to the party, we adjourn this matter for three weeks giving an opportunity to the appellant to explain this document.
To be treated as part-heard.

(D.P. WALIA) (JANKI BHATIA)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER