

0

SLP(C)No. 2104 OF 2002
ITEM No.30

Court No. 1

SECTION IVB
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2104/2002
(From the judgement and order dated 16/07/2001 in CR 1317/99
of The Lok Adalat of High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh

STATE OF PUNJAB

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SUKHDEEP SINGH
(With prayer for interim relief)(O.R.)

Respondent (s)

Date : 11/11/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Ajay Bansal, Dy. Adv. General Punjab
Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. Suresh Kumari & Mrs. Dinesh Verma, Advs. for
Mr. A.P. Mohanty, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the State and the
counsel appearing for the respondent. This SLP is directed
against the impugned order where a decree of the Court, which
did not grant the relief of back wages, is sought to be given
as a consequential benefit of the decree in question. The
decree unequivocally directs for reinstatement, and never
granted any consequential benefit flowing therefrom. That
being so, the executing Court is not entitled to interpret the
decree in the manner other than the decree stipulates, and
consequently the decree must be said to have been satisfied.
The respondent has been reinstated in terms of the decree.
Any direction for consequential relief is without jurisdiction
and is annulled.

The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

.SP1

(Y.P.Dhamija)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Assistant Registrar