

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.2951-2952 OF 2011

ST.ANTHONY CHURCHAPPELLANT

VERSUS

P.INDIRAMMARESPONDENT

O R D E R

Heard Mr. B.S. Banthia, learned counsel appearing for the appellant. Other side is also present.

Mr. Banthia, learned counsel, pointed out a typographical error inadvertently committed in the order passed by this Court on 18.03.2015 in these appeals, that the words "but in fact the appellant-Church is in possession of 4500 sq. ft. of the land." in para 3 lines 10 & 11 (from the top) at page 1 of the said order be read as "but in fact the appellant-Church is in possession of 45071 sq. ft. of the land".

We order accordingly.

.....J
[M. Y. EQBAL]

.....J
[R.K. AGRAWAL]

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 10, 2015.

ITEM NO.801

COURT NO.10

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.2951-2952/2011

ST.ANTHONY CHURCH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

P.INDIRAMMA

Respondent(s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 10/04/2015 These appeals were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL*
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vaishali Dixit, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. B.S. Banthia, learned counsel appearing for the appellant. Other side is also present.

Mr. Banthia, learned counsel, pointed out a typographical error inadvertently committed in the order passed by this Court on 18.03.2015 in these appeals, that the words "but in fact the appellant-Church is in possession of 4500 sq. ft. of the land." in para 3 lines 10 & 11 (from the top) at page 1 of the said order be read as "but in fact the appellant-Church is in possession of 45071 sq. ft. of the land".

We order accordingly.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

**This matter was mentioned only before Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.Y. Eqbal*