

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No(s). 9513 OF 2010

RUDRA NATH TIWARI & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. THROUGH SECRETARY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The Appellants herein have prayed for regularisation. Out of 11 Appellants, it seems two are already regularised. Indeed, Appellant Nos. 1 & 10 are deleted from the array of parties, hence it can be presumed that they must have been regularised. When the matter came up before this court for hearing on 30<sup>th</sup> August, 2010, the following order was passed:

“Pursuant of our order dated 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2010, Mr. B.N. Singh, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Tanda, District Ambedkar Nagar, U.P. is present in person. He has tendered unconditional apology for not responding to the earlier orders passed by this Court. We accept the same and dispense with his personal presence.

An affidavit has already been filed in response to our order dated 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 wherein it is stated that insofar as regularization of the remaining 9 Petitioners is concerned, it will be in accordance with

eligibility/merit list.

Mr. Bhalla, appearing for the State prays for time to seek instructions with regard to the status of the recommendation stated to have been made by the District Magistrate for increasing the strength of Collection Amins etc.”

From the aforementioned order, it is clear that an affidavit has been filed in response to the order dated 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 passed by this Court, to the effect that regularization of the remaining Appellants will be made in accordance with eligibility and merit. However, it was also observed that the authorities have recommended increasing the strength of Collection Amins etc.

Be that as it may, since the Appellants are entitled for regularization in accordance with eligibility and merit, we direct the Respondents to do so. Ordered accordingly.

The appeal is allowed in the said terms.

.....J.  
[MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR]

.....J.  
[R. SUBHASH REDDY]

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 27, 2020

ITEM NO.108

COURT NO.13

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 9513/2010

RUDRA NATH TIWARI &amp; ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. THROUGH SECRETARY &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 27-02-2020 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDYFor Appellant(s) Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR  
Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Prabhoo Dayal Tewari, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR  
Ms. Smriti Dua, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

(NEELAM GULATI)  
AR-cum-PS(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)