

T
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.656 OF 2010

SHELLY KAISTHA
VERSUS
VIDUR KAISTHA
Petitioner(s)
Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, nobody appears for the respondent and in this view of the matter, the Transfer Petition is disposed of. Accordingly, we order for transfer of H.M. (Misc.)Petn.No.22-S/3 of 2009 titled as Shri Vidur Kaistha Vs. Smt.Shelly Kaistha, pending in the Court of District Judge at Shimla, Himachal Pradesh to a Court of Competent Jurisdiction at Delhi.

The Transfer Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.
(DALVEER BHANDARI)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

NEW DELHI;
31ST JANUARY, 2011
ITEM NO.59

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 656 OF 2010

SHELLY KAISTHA
VERSUS
VIDUR KAISTHA
Petitioner(s)
Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 31/01/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. D.N. Goburdhan,Adv.
 Mr.Amit Bhasin Adv.
 Mr.Prabal Bagchi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) NONE

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite service of notice, nobody appears for the
respondent.

The Transfer Petition is disposed of, in terms of the
signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Neeru Bala Vij)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)